

District Court of the Northern Netherlands, Leeuwarden branch

C.M. Telman, T.P. Hoekstra and P. van Eijk

Hearing: 22 October 2026

Case reference: C/17/190788

Case number: 23/172

PS/D100607

**PLEDGES ON BEHALF OF THE ORAL
HEARING**

concerning:

Seven claimants suffering harm from Covid-19 vaccinations

Solicitor: Mr P.W.H. Stassen

v:

(government officials)

1. Mr **EVERHARDUS ITE HOFSTRA**
2. Mr **JAAP TAMINO VAN DISSEL**
3. Ms **MARIA PETRONELLA GERARDA KOOPMANS**
4. Mr **MARK RUTTE**
5. Ms **SIGRID AGNES MARIA KAAG**
6. Mr **HUGO MATTHEÛS DE JONGE**
7. Mr **ERNST JOHAN KUIPERS**
8. Mr **DIEDERIK ANTONIUS MARIA PAULUS JOHANNES GOMMERS**
9. Mr **WOPKE BASTIAAN HOEKSTRA**
10. Ms **CORNELIA VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN**

Solicitors: Mr R.W. Veldhuis and Mr M.E.A. Möhring

(pharmaceutical industry)

11. Mr **ALBERT BOURLA**

Lawyer: D. C. Roessingh, LL.B.



(mass media)

12. Ms **GISELLE JACQUELINE MARIE-THÉRÈSE VAN CANN**

13. Mr **PAUL JANSEN**

Solicitor: Mr A. Bekema

(non-governmental organisation (NGO, WEF))

14. Mr **FEIKE SIJBESMA**

Lawyers: R.W. Veldhuis, LL.B., and M.E.A. Möhring, LL.B.

15. Mr **WILLIAM HENRY BILL GATES III**

Lawyer: W.H. Heemskerk, LL.B.

(quasi-governmental)

16. Ms **AGNES CATHARINA VAN DER VOORT-KANT**

Lawyer: Mr A.H. Ekker

(public sector)

17. THE **STATE OF THE NETHERLANDS**, a legal entity under public law, having its seat in The Hague (“the State”) and with its registered office at Korte Voorhout 8, The Hague (2511 CB);

Lawyers: R.W. Veldhuis, LL.M., and M.E.A. Möhring, LL.M.



Your Honour,


1. Covid-19 is not a disease but a project. That project is known as Covid-19: The Great Reset. The defendants are the implementers of that project. Their unlawful acts and omissions have resulted in my clients being unlawfully misled, as a result of which they received a Covid-19 injection. My clients ask your court, first and foremost, to recognise these unlawful acts and omissions by means of a declaration of law to be issued by your court.
2. Covid-19 injections are lethal, or at the very least highly harmful to people's health and fertility. These injections are a biological weapon, or at the very least cannot be distinguished from one. The global deployment of this biological weapon can only take place through widespread unlawful deception of the public. This deception goes so far that it can be described as a 'preferred reality'. The defendants are the implementers of the 'Covid-19: The Great Reset' project and are guilty of this deception; they are therefore being sued in these proceedings both collectively and individually.
3. The defendants dispute, in every possible way, the plaintiffs' fundamental assertion that Covid-19 is not a disease but a project. They argue that the book of the same name, *Covid-19: The Great Reset*, is nothing more than the authors' vision. They also dispute the claimants' fundamental assertion that the Covid-19 injections constitute a biological weapon, or at least are indistinguishable from one, and maintain that the Covid-19 injections are a 'safe and effective vaccine' against the allegedly new and deadly disease, Covid-19.
4. The defendants' defence, which is based on these two denials, is that the claimants are engaging in dangerous conspiracy theories and that the defendants are not to blame. These defences put forward by the defendants cannot stand. I shall explain this.
5. As Exhibit 34, I have submitted the invitation dated 3 June 2020 to the World Economic Forum Twin Summit. In it, we read the following about what Klaus Schwab himself said:

'... • "The Great Reset" is a commitment to work together, as a matter of urgency, to lay the foundations for an economic and social system that leads to a fairer, more sustainable and more resilient future.

• It requires a new social contract centred on human dignity and social justice, in which social progress does not lag behind economic development.



- *The global health crisis has exposed long-standing fault lines in our economies and societies and triggered a social crisis that urgently calls for decent, meaningful jobs.*
 - *The dual-format summit will take place both in person and virtually, connecting key global leaders from politics and business in Davos with a global network of diverse stakeholders in 400 cities around the world, for a forward-looking dialogue driven by the younger generation.*
 - *The announcement of 'The Great Reset' will be made today at 2.30 pm (Central European Summer Time) by His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales and Klaus Schwab during a virtual meeting.*
6. The Great Reset is therefore not about a book, but about key world leaders from politics and business who are 'committing' – that is, entering into a pledge to work towards a goal. That goal is a new social contract, or in other words, a new world order.
7. What, then, are the foundations that these key world leaders from the worlds of politics and business, and their network across 400 cities worldwide, must lay in order to achieve this new social contract? The WEF has produced an illustration for this purpose, which I have submitted as Exhibit 11 and which speaks volumes. I have included that illustration below.





Reset' is explicitly not just about Covid-19 measures and the harmful Covid jabs. This project affects every aspect of everyone's life. For that reason, those implementing this project speak of a 'new normal'.

9. Despite the fine words used by the architects of the Covid-19 project in their preferred reality, the aim of this project is an anti-human – and therefore satanic – new world order. It is the language of the devil with which this project is promoted: health means medical dictatorship. Biodiversity means zoning, being confined to '15-minute cities' and being denied access to nature and the vast areas that are being reclaimed for this purpose through expropriation. Digital money and digital identity mean a digital prison, a digital police state in which access to services and resources is made entirely dependent on total obedience. These are just a few examples of the deceit and malice of this satanic project.
10. Because Covid-19 was the first foundation laid for this project, it has been named 'Covid-19: The Great Reset'. In other words, the Great Reset that began – or at least was accelerated – by the systematically planned Covid-19. It is precisely for this reason that Covid-19 is included in the image I have printed.
11. I would also like to make an important point here. The Great Reset, the 2030 Agenda, the Pact for the Future and, amongst others, the Sustainable Development Goals – it is all the same wine in different bottles. When it comes to the total reform of the monetary system, this is called the 'Going-Direct Reset', which Catherine Austin Fitts has explained to you in detail. One could also approach this matter differently when it comes to identifying the network driving this satanic agenda, and leave the WEF out of the equation. The same network can also be identified through the history of the fall of the Khazar Empire and the role of the Freemasons and the Jesuits, as well as the banking families and other financial elite that emerged from them. One could also look to the Nazi regime or more recent networks such as that of Jeffrey Epstein.
12. In this case, the 'Great Reset' and the network referred to by the WEF have been taken as the starting point because these have been publicly documented. It is also important to note that on 13 June 2019, the WEF and the United Nations signed a Strategic Partnership Framework to accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (the 17 SDGs). This confirms that the WEF is formally linked to the United Nations. However, even if the WEF or the WHO were to disband tomorrow, nothing would change regarding the network and the fascist global agenda being implemented by that network.



13. All countries and organisations involved in this project, including the UN and its member states, the WHO, the WEF, NATO, the EU, the World Bank, the Bank for International Settlements and various other NGOs, are controlled and effectively governed by the same network of world leaders from politics and business, and the stakeholders serving them, in 400 cities across the globe. All defendants in these proceedings belong to the same group and are implementers of the Great Reset project.
14. Contrary to the preferred reality presented to the public, the enemy of free people cannot therefore be identified as a country, a company or an organisation. It is precisely this group, described by Klaus Schwab himself as being committed to a new world order, that poses the true threat. Members of this group exert their influence across all countries, governments, companies, banks and organisations implementing the Covid-19 agenda, and membership of this group is not restricted by political affiliation, origin or beliefs. There is only one condition for participation, and that is the willingness to deceive the public by abusing one's influence and the trust placed in them to impose a new world order in which free individuals will be enslaved.
15. The project is being carried out through public-private partnerships, leading to strong state control and corporatist oversight. The very right to exist of ordinary people and businesses is made entirely dependent on their obedience in serving the aims of this project. Summed up in a single word: 'fascism'.
16. The first edition of the book *Covid-19: The Great Reset* was published on 13 July 2020, i.e. after the so-called world leaders had made this commitment when His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales, the current King of England, and Klaus Schwab announced the launch of this project on 3 June 2020. The book touches on all the foundations depicted in the WEF image cited above. It is no coincidence that this particular book has been figuratively placed at the centre of this image.
17. The book *Mein Kampf* is regarded as the bible of National Socialism. The book 'Covid-19: The Great Reset' deals with the codified commitment of world leaders from politics and business to a global network of various stakeholders in 400 cities across the world. In reality, this book, 'Covid-19: The Great Reset', is nothing less than a 'Mein Kampf 2.0'.
18. Against this backdrop, I wish to impress upon you the true significance of the opening remarks made by the Director-General of the WHO, Tedros, at the



briefing for missions on COVID-19 on 12 March 2020¹ . Of course, there was no real pandemic; that is not the point. The point is that it states:

'... We are working with the World Economic Forum and the International Chamber of Commerce to engage the private sector. We are also working with FIFA. In addition, we are working with colleagues within the UN system to support countries in drawing up their preparedness and response plans, in line with the eight pillars.'

19. In reality, therefore, it is the people from the WEF network who have infiltrated all organisations and UN member states and are collaborating on Covid-19 as the foundation for the fascist Great Reset. Anyone who cares to look will recognise Goebbels's hand in the propaganda behind this project and Mengele's in the Covid-19 injections.

20. Since you, as judges, now know what the Great Reset project is, I would like to dwell on a fundamental observation. If this observation is not addressed by courageous and just judges, it will spell the definitive end of the democratic rule of law:

'You cannot be a faithful servant to two masters at the same time'

8

21. All the defendants, including the State of the Netherlands, have quite clearly committed themselves to the undemocratic, fascist and criminal Great Reset project. *That is why* they deny the existence of this project and their active role in it. *That is why* they present a misleading picture of the situation and *why* they engage in both propaganda and censorship. And not least *for that reason*, they have all, through unlawful acts and omissions, actively sought to mislead the public and my clients into having a toxic Covid-19 injection administered. And *for that reason* alone, they continue to present the Covid-19 injections – a biological weapon – as a safe and effective vaccine; and *that is why* the calls to weaken, sterilise and kill yourself with them keep arriving in your letterbox.

22. The defendants are therefore, in reality, serving two masters at once, which amounts to nothing less than institutional betrayal. The only legitimate master, the people, is being seriously short-changed in the process. The defendants know that sooner or later they will be found out by the people. That is why they ensure they make '*new friends*' who can look after them when they eventually find themselves without work or an income. To make these friends, the rights

¹ Exhibit 2 in the summons



of the people are being squandered even further. The parable of the shrewd steward from Luke 16 in the New Testament is playing out before our very eyes.

23. This concerns the defendants' first defence, namely that this book, 'Covid-19: The Great Reset', is of no significance.

24. Next, the challenge on which the defendants' second defence is based. The Covid-19 injections are said to be a safe and effective vaccine, and a favourable risk-benefit assessment is said to have been carried out. The defendants make these assertions in their capacity as implementers of the satanic Great Reset project, and in substance they are nothing less than pure deception and institutional treason. I shall explain once again why this is so.

25. The defendants have consistently led the public to believe that the Covid-19 injections are regulated pharmaceutical products developed without 'cutting corners'. Bill Gates said as much, for example, on the Today Exclusive Show on 3 December 2020² ; Bourla said the same³ ; and De Jonge said the same in the interview 'Dit is Robber'⁴ , which is quoted at length in the summons. All the defendants consistently gave the impression, or allowed the impression to persist, that this was a regulated, standard pharmaceutical product that had been developed in record time. Its use was presented as a necessity, and one would do so not only for oneself, but above all for others. As a result, the public, including my clients, believed that these were 'safe and effective vaccines'.

26. All the necessary points have already been set out in the court documents. There was no deadly virus. Nor was there a pandemic attributable to a health crisis. The WHO has also stated in retrospect that Covid-19 was nothing more than a mild flu.

27. What was real, however, was the global propaganda and censorship, and the inhumane Covid-19 measures which, within the 'Covid-19: The Great Reset' agenda, formed the first building block for the new world order. What is also real is the Covid-19 injection, and your court must see it for what it is.

28. In her report *⁵ *, Sasha Latypova has laid bare the true nature and implications of the Covid-19 injections. She has elaborated on this further in

² Exhibit 76 in the summons

³ Exhibit 38 to the summons

⁴ Exhibit 25 to the summons

⁵ Exhibit 158 from the claimants



her video testimony, which she gave under an oath administered by me. The crux of the matter is that this is a military project in which the injections were commissioned as prototypes – a term commonly used in relation to weapons. The commission took place under bio-defence legislation and regulations. There are no production standards for these products, which are approved by political decision under this legislation. The major objections raised by EMA officials two weeks before the so-called approval were ignored. There were no effective quality controls, and the significant DNA contamination in the injections was already known before they were placed on the market; this was resolved by lowering the standards. Furthermore, there were no adequate production standards or approved testing methods at Pfizer itself. Pfizer also failed to provide transparency on this matter and invoked trade secrets when dealing with the regulatory authorities, which is impossible in the case of a regulated pharmaceutical product. I cannot think of a better example of cutting corners.

29.The true explanation for this course of events, which at first glance seems absurd, is that the military order for these prototypes was never about a safe and effective vaccine, but about a countermeasure – a countermeasure against chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear attacks, to which no enforceable pharmaceutical regulations apply – which also explains why bodies such as the EMA had no authority to intervene and why there was therefore no consumer safety whatsoever.

10

30.Surely you can now see the enormous deception of the public and my clients? Surely you now understand that there were no safeguards whatsoever and that there was free rein to put whatever they wanted into these injections. Surely you now understand why no action was taken? The intention was for this poison to be injected. This is a crucial part of the Great Reset project, which is being implemented at breakneck speed in all its aspects.

31.Nothing that the defendants proclaimed about Covid-19 injections via the 'media virus', with the help of criminal so-called scientists, was true. It was not a way out of the pandemic; there was no pandemic; and this injection did nothing to prevent the transmission of a fabricated new disease. Nor did the injection remain in the arm; the lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) were, in fact, designed to penetrate throughout the body. mRNA is specifically designed to remain stable so that gene expression through this gene therapy does not cease. It was known that the deliberately permitted DNA contamination led to major health risks; furthermore, it is clear that the Pfizer injections contain SV40 promoter sequences that cause cancer. And perhaps the most compelling



evidence of all: there are significant variations between batches of these injections, which points to a military weapons experiment rather than a medicinal product.

32. Latyova's report is fully substantiated, including specifically in relation to the Dutch situation; it is crystal clear and proves all my clients' claims regarding the true nature of the Covid-19 injections. The defendants were aware of all this and unlawfully misled my clients, which has cost two of them their lives and has already brought two others to the brink of death.
33. Dr Yeadon has provided you with further evidence of the true nature of the Covid-19 injections. The fact that Bourla – a veterinarian co-opted by the network and CEO of one of the most criminal companies in the world – disputes his conclusion that these injections are toxic by design and that the design choices are malicious speaks volumes. Yeadon also worked at Pfizer, but did not wish to be promoted to higher positions there because that would have required him to make decisions on matters in specialist fields of which he lacked sufficient understanding. Bourla lacks that integrity, which is why he became CEO of Pfizer and is serving as the executor of the malicious Covid-19 project.
34. Catherine Austin Fitts has explained to you how the financial reset is a key part of the Great Reset and, from a financial perspective, explains the depopulation agenda driven by Mammon, the god of money.
35. Joseph Sansone has explained to you how a system can come to behave like a psychopath. This system is carrying out this project, and the defendants are fulfilling their roles within it.
36. The unlawful deception by the defendants is a fact and falls within the three categories of unlawfulness recognised by our law. The unlawful act has been established.
37. In the context of these proceedings, my clients do not need to prove the damage, but merely to make a prima facie case. After all, this concerns a declaration of law with a reference to proceedings for damages. However, that damage is abundantly clear. After all, the unlawful deception led to a violation of their physical integrity and, in effect, the injection of a biological weapon. A biological weapon is intended to cause harm, and this alone makes it plausible that my clients have suffered damage.



38. Anyone who discovers that they have been misled by their own government and by persons acting in accordance with the rule of law, with the intention of depriving them of their health, rendering them infertile and even murdering them, will have to cope with serious psychological distress. The damage is both material and non-material.
39. Legal causation is also a given. Had any of the defendants spoken out, issued a warning and refrained from cooperating with this criminal project – which, given their position in society, they all ought to have done – then this satanic Covid-19 project would not have gone ahead, at least not in this respect, and no Covid-19 injections would have been administered; or, at the very least, my clients would not have allowed themselves to be injected. Furthermore, in the case of collective action and the associated joint and several liability under Section 6:166 of the Dutch Civil Code, no individual causal link is required.
40. With regard to the relativity requirement under Article 6:163 of the Dutch Civil Code, it is your responsibility to determine, in a neutral manner and of your own motion, the purpose and scope of the infringed provision as a matter of law in these proceedings. On behalf of my clients, I have outlined the facts and circumstances from which it follows that the infringed provision is intended to protect the specific interest and the damage suffered. In the writ of summons, you have read an elaboration of various statutory and treaty-based provisions, all of which can be traced back to the general doctrine of tort and which serve to establish that what has happened here should not have happened.
41. What the defendants have brought about through their unlawful acts and omissions constitutes an attack with the intention of bringing the Kingdom, in whole or in part, under foreign rule within the meaning of Article 97a of the Dutch Criminal Code. Their acts and omissions also constitute assault within the meaning of Article 300 of the Dutch Criminal Code. They are also in breach of the Nuremberg Code, as codified in Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). The Aarhus Convention, which should have protected the population against this gene therapy, was also breached. Last but not least, this constitutes genocide as defined in Article 3 of the International Crimes Act. These standards all serve to prevent the harm that my clients have suffered and continue to suffer. The required relativity is also a given.
42. My clients seek justice. That is why they have initiated these proceedings. They deserve a fair hearing of their case, in which your court genuinely seeks the



truth, even if this means that your court must rule that the official Covid-19 narrative is contrary to the Truth, with all the consequences that entails.

43.I stand by the claims and thank you for your attention.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'P. Stassen', enclosed within a large, loopy oval shape.

Peter Stassen
Solicitor