

# Oct. 20, 2026 work update

Katherine Watt, Catholic American writer and paralegal

Rumble: <https://rumble.com/user/kgwatt/videos>

# 2026 work, year to date

development of prior work; ongoing

Review of historical and current records concerning scientific theories and research as presented in published books, papers and other written records.

1. Theories (hypotheses, models) presented as sound foundations for core discipline of biology; subdisciplines (i.e. bacteriology, pathology, medicine, epidemiology, molecular biology, toxicology, etc.)
2. Intrinsic errors and omissions within reductionist (materialist) theories and research; historic suppression of cogent, corrective critiques and counter-evidence.
3. Harmful effects on medical practice, human well-being, and public policy (law) resulting from reliance on reductive, erroneous and omissive theory and evidence.
4. Current and future opportunities for corrective action in:  
(1) medical practice; (2) scientific research; (3) public policy and law.

Discussed briefly in May 18, 2026 presentation/discussion with Jessica Hockett - <https://rumble.com/v7a2xa8-katherine-watt-and-jessica-hockett-may-18-2026-discussion-on-constructed-ou.html>

# **Litigation support, 2026 work**

(Netherlands cases)

- February 2026 – Prepared and published informational materials – case documents, reading guide, video presentation, transcripts, etc. at litigation page at Wordpress.
- March 2026 - Dutch court scheduled hearing in main case for Oct. 22, 2026.
- June 29, 2026 - Online meeting of plaintiffs' attorney Peter Stassen, nominated witnesses, support staff. Stassen proposed recording "video plea." After meeting, I emailed Stassen that I did not want to participate in video production, offered to prepare written responses to written questions. Stassen accepted my offer, with reservation: if he found the written responses to be not well-aligned with his litigation strategy, he would not submit my statement to the Dutch court. Proper legal practice: attorney's strategy, attorney's case management.
- July 28, 2026 – Stassen provided written questions to nominated witnesses by email.
- Sept. 19, 2026 - I delivered my statement to Stassen; Stassen notified me on Sept. 24 that he had decided not to submit statement to court with other material submitted Sept. 22.
- As of early October, videos available at Mass Non-Compliance YouTube page and new website: TheNetherlandsCase.com. [I'm not involved in the new videos or new website.]

## July 28, 2026 - Questions presented to Watt, by Stassen

[Paraphrases indicated by brackets]. Original format/wording in Appendix C, Sept. 19, 2026 statement

1. What expertise do you possess regarding legal structures, government powers, and administrative decision-making? What sources do you use? Which parts consist of documents, and which parts consist of interpretations?
2. Which specific documents, decisions, or data do you consider to form the basis of [plaintiffs' analysis that Covid-19 injections play a crucial role in the broader development they refer to as 'Covid-19: The Great Reset]? How do you distinguish between standard decision-making, statutory powers, and a coordinated strategy?
3. Which legal instruments do you consider relevant [to plaintiffs' argument that historical legal developments have led to the creation of legal instruments enabling the administration of inherently harmful injections—including the Covid-19 injections—to people under the guise of healthcare or health protection]? What data are needed to distinguish the normal exercise of powers from abuse?

## July 28, 2026 question list, continued

4. What specific facts do you believe support [plaintiffs' argument that there is a connection between Covid-19 injections and global plans for a new world order]? Which elements constitute direct evidence, and which are inferences? What alternative explanations have you investigated?
5. What evidentiary value do you attribute to legislation, policy documents, and government decisions? How do you determine whether a document merely reflects policy or constitutes evidence of a broader strategy?
6. Which parts of your analysis can be independently verified? What method do you use to distinguish between coincidence, causality, and a coordinated strategy?
7. Is there anything else you would like to add that you consider relevant to the assessment of the parties' conflicting positions regarding the Covid-19 injections?

# Sept. 19, 2026 Watt Statement - Contents

Title - Statement in support of plaintiffs' request for judicial fact-finding and application of law to facts and in support of abolition of the manufactures of pathogen detection devices and vaccines; and abolition of the practices of pathogen surveillance and vaccination.

I. Background - pp. 1-7 - Three phases of my research & writing work since 2020 –

- (1) emergency-use biological products – law and science
- (2) routine/schedule vaccines (biological products) – law and science
- (3) history of theories of biology; use in public policy (law), medical practice

Relevance to civil tort litigation.

II. Procedural history - pp. 8-12– My involvement in Dutch cases, Jan. 2025-Sept. 2026; summaries of contributed main findings and conclusions

III. Responses to Stassen questions - pp. 13-29

Appendices - pp. 30-39

## Five Appendices

A - Nov. 11, 2025 Watt memo to Stassen: key documents supporting argument that FDA functions pertaining to vaccines are non-regulatory, for regulatory simulation purposes only.

B - June 22, 2026 Watt memo to Stassen - On two different litigation strategies/theories of the case

C - Original format, July 28, 2026 Questions presented by Attorney Peter Stassen

D – [placeholder for forthcoming sample discovery materials supporting judicial and public review of foundational theories of biology, pathology, epidemiology, etc.]

E – Suggested reading

## Statement written for two sets of readers

- (1) General readers interested in vaccine history, law and science and
- (2) Dutch court (if Stassen had decided to submit it)  
“...To the extent more people worldwide understand the erroneous and omissive nature of scientific, biological theories upon which official narratives rely and which official narratives serve to transmit, more people will be better equipped to refrain from personal and family participation in future erroneous and omissive narration, surveillance, testing, masking, isolation and vaccination programs...”

Working on expanded version, with two added sections –

- (1) presentation of scientific theory limitations, suppressions found so far
- (2) sample discovery materials for eliciting admissions through litigation

# Sept. 28, 2026 – Sept. 19 Statement published at Bailiwick News Archives on Wordpress



## BAILIWICK NEWS ARCHIVES

### Home

2022-2026 Legal research  
and writing

2022-2026 Interviews  
and presentations

**Sept. 19, 2026 statement in  
support of plaintiffs' request for  
judicial fact-finding and  
application of law to facts;  
statement in support of  
abolition of the practices of  
pathogen surveillance  
and vaccination.**

SEPTEMBER 28, 2026 / LEAVE A COMMENT / EDIT

In **March 2025** and **June 2025**, attorneys Peter Stassen and Arno van Kessel requested that two Dutch courts (handling two distinct but related cases) appoint five named individuals as independent subject-matter experts and schedule public hearing of sworn testimony pertaining to allegations of immaterial and material injury sustained by Dutch citizens subsequent to acts and omissions committed by

# Links to some documents

These links will also be provided below video on Rumble.

Sept. 10, 2025 St. Benedict Memo

<https://bailiwicknewsarchives.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/st.-benedict-memo-september-2025.pdf>

Nov. 11, 2025 List of documents supporting argument that US-FDA “biological product” regulatory functions are simulative/performative, not substantive

<https://bailiwicknewsarchives.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/2025.11.11-list-of-key-documents-re-non-regulation-history.pdf>

Feb. 17, 2026 Memo in support of petitioners’ appeal

<https://bailiwicknewsarchives.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/2026.02.17-memo-in-support-of-petitioners-appeal-watt.pdf>

**Sept. 19, 2026 Statement**

<https://bailiwicknewsarchives.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/09/2026.09.19-statement-in-support-of-plaintiffs-request-watt.pdf>